



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,727	08/08/2006	Takuo Suzuki	129039	2417
25944	7590	09/08/2009	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				SASTRI, SATYA B
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/08/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/588,727	SUZUKI ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
SATYA B. SASTRI	1796	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SATYA B. SASTRI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Azza Jayaprakash. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 September 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 5, 6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Pettit, Jr. (US 5,202,382) and Nishioka et al. (JP2004010735, DERWENT AB.)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satya B Sastri/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to discuss two new references, to Pettit, Jr. and Nishioka et al. and possible amendment of claim 1 via incorporation of limitations in dependent claims 5 and/or 6 into the independent claim 1. Upon further consideration and in view of additional new art found by the examiner, applicant was contacted again to be notified that an office action with new claim rejections was going to be mailed to the applicant. No agreement was reached during the course of the interview.